Two New Megastigmane Glycosides and a New Iridoid Glycoside from Gelsemium elegans

by Qiu-Ping Zhang^a)^b)^c), Bin-Feng Zhang^{*b})^c), Gui-Xin Chou^b)^c), and Zheng-Tao Wang^{*a})^b)^c)

 ^a) Department of Pharmacognosy, China Pharmaceutical University, Nanjing 211198, P. R. China
 ^b) The MOE Key Laboratory for Standardization of Chinese Medicines and SATCM Key Laboratory for New Resources and Quality Evaluation of Chinese Medicines, Institute of Chinese Materia Medica, Shanghai University of Traditional Chinese Medicine, Cai Lun Road 1200, Zhangjiang Hi-Tech Park, Shanghai 201210, P. R. China (phone: +86-21-51322507; fax: +86-21-51322519; e-mail: wangzht@hotmail.com)

^c) Shanghai R&D Center for Standardization of Chinese Medicines, Shanghai 201203, P. R. China

Two new megastigmane glycosides, eleganosides A and B (1 and 3, resp.), and one new iridoid glycoside, gouwenoside A (4), together with two known compounds, foliasalacioside B_1 (2) and loganin (5), were isolated from the aerial parts of *Gelsemium elegans* (GARDN. et CHAMP.) BENTH. (Loganiaceae). Their structures were elucidated by spectroscopic methods including 1D- and 2D-NMR techniques. The absolute configuration of 1 was determined by CD spectroscopy.

Introduction. – Gelsemium elegans (GARDN. et CHAMP.) BENTH. (Loganiaceae; Gouwen in Chinese), mainly distributed in south and southwest China, has long been used as a folk medicine for relief of rheumatoid and nervous pain, treatment of skin ulcers, and cancers [1][2]. Previous chemical investigations of this plant resulted in the isolation of indole alkaloids [3-19], iridoids [20][21], lignans [22], and flavones [23]. In our continuing studies of this plant [18][23], two new megastigmane glycosides, eleganosides A and B (1 and 3, resp.), and one new iridoid glycoside, gouwenoside A (4), together with two known compounds, foliasalacioside B₁ (2) [24] and loganin (5) [25], were isolated (*Fig. 1*). Of these components, megastigmane glycosides 1-3 were isolated from the genus *Gelsemium* for the first time. Here, we report the isolation and structure elucidation of these new compounds.

Results and Discussion. – Compound **1** was obtained as an amorphous powder. The molecular formula $C_{24}H_{40}O_{11}$ was determined by HR-ESI-MS ($[M + Na]^+$ peak at m/z 527.2471), indicating five degrees of unsaturation. The ¹H- and ¹³C-NMR data of **1** (*Table 1*) indicated a glycosylated megastigmane skeleton. The aglycone part contained four Me (δ (H) 1.01 (s), 1.08 (s), 1.17 (d, J = 6.5), and 2.04 (d, J = 1.0)), three CH₂ (δ (H) 1.58–1.69 (m, 2 H); 1.58–1.69 (m, 1 H) and 1.77–1.83 (m, 1 H); 1.98 (d, J = 17.0, 1 H); and 2.46 (d, J = 17.0, 1 H)), two sp³ CH groups (δ (H) 1.96–2.00 (m) and 3.84–3.88 (m)), one sp³ quaternary C-atom (δ (C) 37.6), one C=O (δ (C) 202.8), and one CH=C moiety (δ (H) 5.80 (s); δ (C) 125.7 and 170.5). The saccharide part was composed of two sugar moieties (glucopyranosyl (Glc): δ (H) 4.31 (d, J = 8.0); δ (C) 102.5, 78.3, 77.1, 75.4, 72.1, and 70.1; arabinopyranosyl (Arap): δ (H) 4.28 (d, J = 6.5);

© 2011 Verlag Helvetica Chimica Acta AG, Zürich



Fig. 1. Structures of compounds 1-5

Table 1. ¹*H*- and ¹³*C*-*NMR Data of* **1** and **2**. δ in ppm, *J* in Hz. C-Atom numbering as indicated in *Fig.* 1.

	1		2		
	$\overline{\delta(\mathrm{H})^{\mathrm{a}}})$	$\delta(C)^{b})$	$\overline{\delta(\mathrm{H})^{\mathrm{a}}})$	$\delta(C)^{b}$	
C(1)		37.6		37.6	
$CH_{2}(2)$	2.46 (d, J = 17.0), 1.98 (d, J = 17.0)	48.5	2.46 (d, J = 17.0), 1.97 (d, J = 17.0)	48.4	
C(3)		202.8		202.8	
H-C(4)	5.80 (s)	125.7	5.79 (s)	125.6	
C(5)		170.5		170.6	
H-C(6)	1.96 - 2.00 (m)	52.8	1.95-1.99°)	52.7	
$CH_2(7)$	1.77 - 1.83 (m), 1.58 - 1.69 (m)	27.4	$1.95 - 1.99^{\circ}$, $1.47 - 1.51$ (<i>m</i>)	27.3	
$CH_{2}(8)$	1.58 - 1.69(m)	38.3	1.59 - 1.64(m)	38.2	
H-C(9)	3.84 - 3.88(m)	76.1	$3.82 - 3.87^{\circ}$	76.0	
Me(10)	1.17 (d, J = 6.5)	20.2	1.17 (d, J = 6.0)	20.4	
Me(11)	1.08(s)	27.8	1.09 (s)	27.9	
Me(12)	1.01 (s)	29.4	1.00(s)	29.3	
Me(13)	2.04 (d, J = 1.0)	25.3	2.05(s)	25.3	
Glc					
H - C(1')	4.31 (d, J = 8.0)	102.5	4.31 (d, J = 8.0)	102.5	
H-C(2')	3.13(t, J=8.5)	75.4	3.13(t, J = 8.3)	75.4	
H–C(3')	3.29-3.34°)	78.3	3.30-3.34°)	78.3	
H-C(4')	$3.29 - 3.34^{\circ}$	72.1	$3.30 - 3.34^{\circ}$	72.1	
H-C(5')	3.39 - 3.41(m)	77.1	3.39 - 3.42(m)	77.1	
$CH_{2}(6')$	4.06 (dd, J = 11.5, 2.0),	70.1	4.06 (dd, J = 11.5, 1.5),	70.1	
,	3.68 (dd, J = 11.5, 6.0)		3.68 (dd, J = 11.3, 5.8)		
Ara					
H–C(1")	4.28 (d, J = 6.5)	105.6	4.28 (d, J = 6.5)	105.6	
H–C(2'')	3.57 (dd, J = 8.8, 6.5)	72.6	3.57 (dd, J = 8.3, 6.5)	72.7	
H–C(3")	$3.48 - 3.50^{\circ}$	74.5	$3.47 - 3.50^{\circ}$	74.5	
H–C(4")	3.78 - 3.79(m)	69.7	3.79 (d, J = 1.5)	69.7	
CH ₂ (5")	3.84 (dd, J = 12.5, 3.5),	66.9	3.82-3.87°), 3.47-3.50°)	66.9	
	3.49 (dd, J = 12.0, 1.5)		. ,		
a) Record	ed at 500 MHz in CD ₃ OD. ^b) Recorde	ed at 125	MHz in CD-OD ^c) Overlapped signa	ls	
) Record	$cu at 500 \text{ MHz} \text{ III CD}_3 \text{OD}.) \text{ Records}$	eu at 123	1000000000000000000000000000000000000	15.	

 δ (C) 105.6, 74.5, 72.6, 69.7, and 66.9). The NMR data of **1** were very similar to those of a known compound, foliasalacioside $B_1(2)$ [24] (*Table 1*). The only difference was that the H-atom signals at $\delta(H)$ 1.47–1.51 and 1.95–1.99 (2*m*, 1 H each, CH₂(7)) in **2** shifted to 1.58-1.69 and 1.77-1.83 (2m, 1 H each, CH₂(7)) in 1, suggesting the configuration at C(6) in 1 different from that in 2. The configuration at C(6) in 1 was further confirmed as (S) by CD spectrum, which showed negative Cotton effects at 239.8 nm ($\Delta \epsilon$ -4.72) and 325.8 nm ($\Delta \epsilon$ -1.66), while compound **2** with (*R*)configuration at C(6) showed positive *Cotton* effects at 236.1 nm ($\Delta \varepsilon$ +7.61) and 336.5 nm ($\Delta \varepsilon$ + 1.27) (*Fig.* 2). The configuration at C(9) in **1** was deduced to be (*R*) by comparing its C-atom signal ($\delta(C)$ 76.1) with that of **2** ($\delta(C)$ 76.0). In case of C(9) with (S)-configuration, the C-atom signal would be shifted upfield to $\delta(C)$ 74.6 as reported for salvionoside C [26]. The connection of glucosyl to C(9) and a $1 \rightarrow 6$ linkage of arabinosyl to glucosyl were deduced from the HMBCs H-C(1')/C(9) and H-C(9)/C(9)C(1'), and H-C(1'')/C(6') and $CH_2(6')/C(1'')$ (Fig. 3). The configurations of the sugars in 1 were determined as β -D-glucopyranose and α -L-arabinopyranose by comparing their NMR data with those of 2, and by further GC/MS analysis. 2D-NMR Correlations, which confirmed the skeleton of 1, are shown in *Figs. 3* and 4. Therefore, the structure of 1 was determined as (6S,9R)-hydroxymegastigm-4-en-3-one 9-O- α -Larabinopyranosyl- $(1 \rightarrow 6)$ -O- β -D-glucopyranoside, an epimer of **2**, and named as eleganoside A.



Fig. 2. CD Spectra (MeOH) of 1 and 2

Compound **3** was obtained as an amorphous powder. The molecular formula $C_{24}H_{42}O_{13}$ was determined by HR-ESI-MS ($[M + Na]^+$ peak at m/z 561.2531), indicating four degrees of unsaturation. The ¹H- and ¹³C-NMR data of **3** (*Table 2*) indicated also a megastigmane glycoside with a β -D-glucopyranosyl and an apiofuranosyl unit. The megastigmane skeleton possessed a (*E*)-C=C bond (δ (H) 6.02 (d, J = 16.0) and 5.75 (dd, J = 16.0, 7.0); δ (C) 133.4 and 134.7) and four O-bearing C-atoms (δ (C) 65.6, 78.0, 78.7, and 79.4). Compound **3** was identified as an apiofuranosyl



Fig. 3. Selected HMBC ($H \rightarrow C$) and ¹H,¹H-COSY (-) correlations of 1, 3, and 4



Fig. 4. Key NOESY correlations of 1, 3, and 4

derivative of a known compound, bridelionoside B, by comparing the NMR data of **3** with those of the literature [27] (*Table 2*). The linkage of apiofuranosyl to C(6') of the glucopyranosyl moiety was deduced from a large downfield chemical shift for C(6') (+6.7 ppm), and further confirmed by the HMBCs H–C(1'')/C(6') and CH₂(6')/C(1'') (*Fig. 3*). The β -D configuration of the apiofuranose was deduced from its NMR data [28][29] and NOE correlations H–C(2'')/CH₂(5'') and H–C(2'')/H_b–C(4'') (*Fig. 4*),

	3		Bridelionoside B ^a)	
	$\overline{\delta(\mathrm{H})^{\mathrm{b}}})$	$\delta(C)^{c})$	$\delta(\mathrm{H})^{\mathrm{d}})$	$\delta(C)^{e})$
C(1)		41.0		40.8
$H_{ax}-C(2)$	1.54 (dd, J = 12.0, 12.0)	46.7	1.60(t, J = 12)	46.4
$H_{eq}-C(2)$	1.35 (dd, J = 12.0, 2.5)		$1.41 \ (ddd, J = 12, 4, 2)$	
H-C(3)	3.93 - 3.98(m)	65.6	4.01 (tt, J = 12, 6)	65.3
$H_{eq}-C(4)$	1.69 (dd, J = 12.0, 3.0)	46.0	1.73 (ddd, J = 12, 6, 2)	45.7
$H_{ax}-C(4)$	1.64 (dd, J = 12.0, 12.0)		1.69(t, J = 12)	
C(5)		78.0		77.8
C(6)		79.4		78.3
H-C(7)	6.02 (d, J = 16.0)	133.4	6.08 (dd, J = 16, 1)	132.9
H-C(8)	5.75 (dd, J = 16.0, 7.0)	134.7	5.81 (dd, J = 16, 7)	134.3
H–C(9)	4.31 - 4.34(m)	78.7	4.39 (quint, J = 6)	79.1
Me(10)	1.23 (d, J = 6.5)	21.9	1.28 (d, J=6)	21.5
Me(11)	1.08(s)	26.5	1.06 (s)	27.9
Me(12)	0.75(s)	27.8	0.83(s)	26.3
Me(13)	1.06 (s)	27.9	1.18 (s)	27.1
Glc				
H–C(1')	4.25 (d, J = 8.0)	102.6	4.31 (d, J = 8)	102.6
H–C(2')	3.08 (dd, J = 9.0, 8.0)	75.6	f)	75.4
H–C(3')	3.21 - 3.26 (m)	78.4	f)	77.9
H-C(4')	3.15(t, J = 9.0)	72.1	f)	71.5
H–C(5')	3.21 - 3.26 (m)	77.1	f)	78.3
$CH_2(6')$	$3.85 - 3.88^{\text{g}}$	69.3	3.77 (dd, J = 12, 2),	62.6
2.	3.46 (dd, J = 11.0, 6.5)		3.61 (dd, J = 12, 5)	
Api				
H–C(1")	4.89 (d, J = 3.0)	111.4	^f)	
H - C(2'')	3.85(d, J = 3.0)	78.3	f)	
C(3'')		80.9	f)	
$CH_{2}(4'')$	3.89(d, J = 9.5), 3.67(d, J = 9.5)	75.4	r)	
CH ₂ (5")	3.48 (br. s)	65.9	f)	

Table 2. ¹*H*- and ¹³*C*-*NMR* Data of **3** and Bridelionoside B. δ in ppm, J in Hz. C-Atom numbering as indicated in Fig. 1.

which indicated that H-C(2''), $CH_2(5'')$, and $H_b-C(4'')$ were on the same side of the ring for this sugar. The absolute configuration of the apiofuranose was further confirmed by GC/MS analysis after derivatization with (*S*)-1-aminopropan-2-ol and acetylation.

The OH group at C(3) could be determined as equatorially oriented on the basis of the ¹H-NMR data, as the coupling-constant values of $J(2_{ax},3)$ and $J(3,4_{ax})$ were both 12 Hz, which could be calculated from the well-resolved H-atom signals (H_{ax} -C(2) and H_{ax} -C(4); *Table 2*). Me(13) and the side chain at C(6) were also equatorially oriented, as suggested by NOE correlations Me(13)/H_{eq}-C(4), Me(13)/H_{ax}-C(4), H-C(7)/Me_{ax}(11), and H-C(8)/Me_{eq}(12) (*Fig. 4*). Thus, the OH groups at C(3), C(5), and C(6)

^a) From [27]. ^b) Recorded at 500 MHz in CD₃OD. ^c) Recorded at 125 MHz in CD₃OD. ^d) Recorded at 400 MHz in CD₃OD. ^e) Recorded at 100 MHz in CD₃OD. ^f) Signals not reported in [27]. ^g) Overlapped signals.

were α -, β -, and α -oriented, respectively, which indicated that the relative configuration of the megastigmane ring portion of **3** was the same as that of bridelionoside B. The absolute configuration at C(9) as (*R*) was determined based on its C-atom signal (δ (C) 78.7), as reported for bridelionoside B and bridelionoside C; both of them had (*R*)configurations at C(9) and showed a similar chemical shift (δ (C) 79.1) [27], whereas the chemical shifts of C(9) in euodionoside C and euodionoside D, both with (*S*)configurations, were observed at δ (C) 74.8 and 75.7, respectively [30]. On the basis of above analysis, compound **3** was identified as (3α , 5β , 6α ,7E,9R)-megastigm-7-ene-3,5,6,9-tetrol 9-O- β -D-apiofuranosyl-($1 \rightarrow 6$)-O- β -D-glucopyranoside, and named as eleganoside B.

Compound **4** was obtained as an amorphous powder. The molecular formula $C_{17}H_{26}O_{11}$ was determined by HR-ESI-MS ($[M + Na]^+$ peak at m/z 429.1359), indicating five degrees of unsaturation. The ¹H- and ¹³C-NMR data of **4** (*Table 3*) exhibited signals for an iridoid skeleton and a glucopyranosyl moiety. The NMR data of the aglycone of **4** were similar to those of a known compound, GEIR-3 [21] (*Table 3*), with the exception of signals for a methoxycarbonyl group in **4** (δ (H): 3.71 (s); δ (C): 52.0), which was confirmed by the HMBC of Me(12) and C(11) (C=O; *Fig. 3*). The linkage of glucosyl to C(7) was deduced from the chemical shifts of C(6) (-9.8 ppm), C(7) (+13.9 ppm), and C(8) (-1.3 ppm), and further confirmed by the HMBC correlation H–C(1')/C(7) (*Fig. 3*). The anomeric H-atom signal at δ (H) 4.40 (d,

	4		GEIR-3 ^a)	
	$\delta(\mathrm{H})^{\mathrm{b}})$	$\delta(C)^{c})$	$\delta(\mathrm{H})^{\mathrm{d}}$)	$\delta(C)^{c})$
$H_{\beta}-C(1)$	3.99-4.01°)	74.7	3.94 (dd, J = 11.0, 2.0)	74.1
$H_a - C(1)$	3.77 (d, J = 11.0)		3.75 (d, J = 11.0)	
H-C(3)	7.76 (s)	158.5	7.73 (s)	157.0
C(4)		105.0		107.1
H-C(5)	2.50 (br. <i>s</i>)	46.3	2.49 (br. s)	46.9
H-C(6)	4.42 (d, J = 3.5)	70.5	$4.24 \ (dd, J = 4.0, 4.0)$	80.3
H-C(7)	3.99-4.01 ^e)	87.0	3.70 (dd, J = 9.3, 4.0)	73.1
H-C(8)	1.78 - 1.83 (m)	43.1	1.62 (<i>m</i>)	44.4
C(9)		74.2		74.4
Me(10)	1.11 (d, J = 7.0)	13.0	1.08 (d, J = 7.1)	12.9
C(11)		170.2		174.0
Me(12)	3.71 (s)	52.0		
Glc				
H-C(1')	4.40 (d, J = 7.5)	103.1		
H–C(2')	3.19–3.22 <i>(m)</i>	75.6		
H–C(3')	3.31-3.39 ^e)	78.5		
H–C(4')	3.31-3.39°)	71.9		
H–C(5')	3.31-3.39°)	78.3		
CH ₂ (6')	3.88 (d, J = 12.0), 3.68 (dd, J = 11.5, 4.0)	63.1		

Table 3. ¹H- and ¹³C-NMR Data of 4 and GEIR-3. δ in ppm, J in Hz. Arbitrary atom numbering.

^a) From [21]. ^b) Recorded at 500 MHz in CD₃OD. ^c) Recorded at 125 MHz in CD₃OD. ^d) Recorded at 400 MHz in CD₃OD. ^e) Overlapped signals.

J = 7.5) indicated β -configuration of the glucose. The relative configuration of the aglycone was derived from the NOESY experiment. The NOE correlations H_a-C(1)/H-C(8), H-C(6)/H-C(5), H-C(7)/H-C(5), H-C(6)/H-C(7), and H-C(7)/Me(10) implied that H-C(5), H-C(6), H-C(7), and Me(10) were in β -position (*Fig. 4*). HO-C(9) in **4** was also determined to be β -oriented on the basis of the biogenetic pathway of iridiods from the genus *Gelsemium* [20][21][31]. Therefore, the structure of **4** was determined as methyl *rel*-(4a*S*,5*R*,6*S*,7*S*,7a*S*)-1,4a,5,6,7,7a-hexahydro-6-(β -D-glucopyranosyloxy)-5,7a-dihydroxy-7-methylcyclopenta[*c*]pyran-4-carboxylate, and named gouwenoside A.

Megastigmane derivatives are commonly encountered as natural products. However, no reports have been published on megastigmane constituents from the genus *Gelsemium*.

Iridoids like gouwenoside A (4), without OH substitution (or glycosylation) at C(1), are not very common among naturally occurring iridoids [32-34]. However, several iridoids of this type have been isolated from *Gelsemium* plants, such as gelsemide, gelsemide 7-glucoside, semperoside, 9-hydroxysemperoside [31], 7-deoxy-gelsemide, 9-deoxygelsemide [20], GEIR-1, GEIR-2, GEIR-3, and GRIR-1 [21].

Experimental Part

General. TLC: silica-gel GF_{254} plates (0.15 or 0.40 mm; Yantai Jiangyou Chemical Inc., P. R. China). Column chromatography (CC): silica gel (SiO₂; 200–300 mesh; Qingdao Marine Chemical Inc., P. R. China), D101 resin (Cangzhou Baoen Chemical Inc., P. R. China), Sephadex LH-20 (GE-Healthcare Bio-Sciences AB, Sweden), and RP-18 gel (40–60 µm, Sepax Technologies Inc.). Semiprep. HPLC: Waters 600 instrument; YMC-Pack ODS-A column (250 × 10 mm i.d., 5 µm), flow rate 3.0 ml/min. GC/MS: Thermo DSQ gas chromatograph; Thermo TR-5MS column (60 m × 0.25 mm i.d., 2.5 µm); N₂ as carrier gas, flow rate 1.0 ml/min. Optical rotation: KRÜSS P800-T polarimeter. IR Spectra: NicoletTM 380 spectrometer (Thermo Electron). CD Spectra: JASCO J-180 spectrometer (Japan). 1D- and 2D-NMR spectra: Bruker AV-500 spectrometer. ESI-MS: LCQ DECAXP^{plus} mass spectrometer. HR-ESI-MS: APEXIII 7.0 TESLA FTMS mass spectrometer.

Plant Material. The aerial parts of *G. elegans* were collected in Fujian Province, P. R. China in 2006 and authenticated by Dr. *Li-Hong Wu* (Institute of Chinese Materia Medica, Shanghai University of Traditional Chinese Medicine). A voucher specimen (No. GW-090630) was deposited with the laboratory of Shanghai R&D Center for Standardization of Chinese Medicines.

Extraction and Isolation. The dried aerial parts of *G. elegans* (3.4 kg) were extracted under reflux with MeOH (3 × 15 l) for 2 h each time. The MeOH extract was evaporated to yield a residue (593 g), which was suspended in H₂O (500 ml), and then extracted with petroleum ether (5 × 1 l), AcOEt (5 × 1 l), and BuOH (5 × 1 l), successively. The BuOH extract (92 g) was subjected to CC (*D101* resin) and eluted with 30, 50, and 90% aq. EtOH successively, after washing with H₂O. The 30% aq. EtOH eluate (29.5 g) was then subjected to CC (*Sephadex LH-20*; MeOH) to give *Fractions* 1–5. *Fr.* 2 (10.3 g) was again subjected to CC (SiO₂; AcOEt/MeOH 20 : 1) to yield *Frs.* 2*A* – 2*C. Fr.* 2*A* (2.4 g) was purified by CC (*RP-18*; 10% → 80% aq. MeOH) and semiprep. HPLC (20% aq. MeCN) to afford **4** (4.5 mg; t_R 9.0 min) and **5** (6 mg; t_R 14.2 min). *Fr.* 2*B* (1.0 g) was separated by repeated CC (*RP-18*, 10% → 80% aq. MeOH) to yield *Frs.* 2*B.1*–2*B.4.* Compounds **1** (4 mg; t_R 15.8 min) and **2** (4 mg; t_R 17.6 min) were isolated from *Fr.* 2*B.2* (30.0 mg) by semiprep. HPLC (18% aq. MeCN), and **3** (5 mg) was obtained from *Fr.* 2*B.4.* (21.5 mg) by prep. TLC (AcOEt/MeOH/H₂O 5:1:0.5; R_f 0.45).

Eleganoside A (=(2R)-4-[(1S)-2,6,6-Trimethyl-4-oxocyclohex-2-en-1-yl]butan-2-yl 6-O-α-L-Arabinopyranosyl-β-D-glucopyranoside; **1**). Amorphous powder. $[a]_{20}^{20} = -30.2$ (c = 0.05, MeOH). UV (MeOH): 241 (3.53). IR (KBr): 3409, 2935, 1649, 1376, 1073, 1010, 826. CD (MeOH): 239.8 (-4.72), 325.8 (-1.66). ¹H- and ¹³C-NMR: see *Table 1*. HR-ESI-MS: 527.2471 ($[M + Na]^+$, $C_{24}H_{40}NaO_{11}^+$; calc. 527.2468).

Eleganoside B (= (2R,3E)-4-[(1S,2S,4R)-1,2,4-Trihydroxy-2,6,6-trimethylcyclohexyl]but-3-en-2-yl 6-O-β-D-Apiofuranosyl-β-D-glucopyranoside; **3**). Amorphous powder. $[a]_D^{20} = -76.5$ (c = 0.05, MeOH). IR (KBr): 3409, 2929, 1655, 1637, 1375, 1458, 1072, 1039, 573. ¹H- and ¹³C-NMR: see *Table 2*. HR-ESI-MS: 561.2531 ($[M+Na]^+$, C₂₄H₄₂NaO₁₃; calc. 561.2523).

Gouwenoside A (= Methyl rel-(4a, 5R, 68, 7S, 7a)-6-(β -D-Glucopyranosyloxy)-1,4a,5,6,77a-hexahydro-5,7a-dihydroxy-7-methylcyclopenta[c]pyran-4-carboxylate; **4**). Amorphous powder. [a]₂₀^D = -90.6 (c = 0.05, MeOH). UV (MeOH): 239 (3.12). IR (KBr): 3491, 3405, 3335, 2980, 2935, 2875, 1680, 1627, 1015, 966, 930. ¹H- and ¹³C-NMR: see *Table 3*. HR-ESI-MS: 429.1359 ([M + Na]⁺, C₁₇H₂₆NaO⁺₁₁; calc. 429.1373).

Acid Hydrolysis and Sugars Analysis. Compounds 1, 3, and 4 (1 mg each) were hydrolyzed with 3M CF₃COOH (2 ml) at 120° for 2 h in a sealed tube, resp. The mixture was transferred into a vial and evaporated to dryness. To the residue, dried overnight, the following solns. were added: *a*) (*S*)-1-amino-2-propanol/MeOH 1:8 (20 µl); *b*) glacial AcOH/MeOH 1:4 (17 µl); *c*) NaBH₃CN in MeOH (3%; 17 µl), and the mixture was left at 65° for 1.5 h in a capped vial. After cooling, 3M CF₃COOH was added to adjust the pH to 1–2, and the mixture was evaporated to dryness. The residue was then treated with pyridine/Ac₂O 1:1 (0.4 ml) for 45 min at 100°. After cooling, the derivative was extracted with CHCl₃ (1 ml), and washed with 0.5M aq. Na₂CO₃ (3 × 1 ml) and H₂O (3 × 1 ml). The CHCl₃ phase was then dried (Na₂SO₄) and subjected to GC/MS for sugar identification: D-glucopyranose, *t*_R 29.42 min; D-apiofuranose, *t*_R 29.78 min; 1, *t*_R 29.43 and 36.77 min; 3, *t*_R 29.77 and 36.76 min; and 4 *t*_R 36.77 min.

REFERENCES

- 'Chinese material medica (Zhonghua Bencao)', Shanghai Science and Technology Press, 1999, Vol. 16, p. 213.
- [2] K. Z. Yang, J. Huang, Y. D. Wu, J. J. Chen, J. Guangxi Med. Univ. 1981, 3, 66.
- [3] D. Ponglux, S. Wongseripipatana, S. Subbadhirasakul, H. Takayama, M. Yokota, K. Ogata, C. Phisalaphong, N. Aimi, S. Sakai, *Tetrahedron* 1988, 44, 5075.
- [4] L.-Z. Lin, G. A. Cordell, C.-Z. Ni, J. Clardy, Phytochemistry 1989, 28, 2827.
- [5] L.-Z. Lin, G. A. Cordell, C.-Z. Ni, J. Clardy, Tetrahedron Lett. 1989, 30, 1177.
- [6] L.-Z. Lin, G. A. Cordell, C.-Z. Ni, J. Clardy, J. Nat. Prod. 1989, 52, 588.
- [7] F. Sun, Q. Y. Xing, X. T. Liang, J. Nat. Prod. 1989, 52, 1180.
- [8] L.-Z. Lin, G. A. Cordell, C.-Z. Ni, J. Clardy, J. Org. Chem. 1989, 54, 3199.
- [9] L.-Z. Lin, G. A. Cordell, C.-Z. Ni, J. Clardy, Phytochemistry 1990, 29, 965.
- [10] L.-Z. Lin, S.-F. Hu, G. A. Cordell, Phytochemistry 1996, 43, 723.
- [11] Y.-K. Xu, S.-P. Yang, S.-G. Liao, H. Zhang, L.-P. Lin, J. Ding, J.-M. Yue, J. Nat. Prod. 2006, 69, 1347.
- [12] M. Kitajima, J. Nat. Med. 2007, 61, 14.
- [13] S. Yin, X.-F. He, Y. Wu, J.-M. Yue, Chem.-Asian J. 2008, 3, 1824.
- [14] N. Kogure, H. Kobayashi, N. Ishii, M. Kitajima, S. Wongseripipatana, H. Takayama, *Tetrahedron Lett.* 2008, 49, 3638.
- [15] Y. Yamada, M. Kitajima, N. Kogure, H. Takayama, *Tetrahedron* 2008, 64, 7690.
- [16] Y. Yamada, M. Kitajima, N. Kogure, S. Wongseripipatana, H. Takayama, *Tetrahedron Lett.* 2009, 50, 3341.
- [17] Z. Zhang, Y.-T. Di, Y.-H. Wang, Z. Zhang, S.-Z. Mu, X. Fang, Y. Zhang, C.-J. Tan, Q. Zhang, X.-H. Yan, J. Guo, C.-S. Li, X.-J. Hao, *Tetrahedron* 2009, 65, 4551.
- [18] B.-F. Zhang, G.-X. Chou, Z.-T. Wang, Helv. Chim. Acta 2009, 92, 1889.
- [19] Q.-C. Zhao, W. Hua, L. Zhang, T. Guo, M.-H. Zhao, M. Yan, L.-J. Wu, J. Asian Nat. Prod. Res. 2010, 12, 273.
- [20] H. Takayama, Y. Morohoshi, M. Kitajima, N. Aimi, S. Wongseripipatana, D. Ponglux, S. Sakai, Nat. Prod. Lett. 1994, 5, 15.

- [21] N. Kogure, N. Ishii, H. Kobayashi, M. Kitajima, S. Wongseripipatana, H. Takayama, Chem. Pharm. Bull. 2008, 56, 870.
- [22] W. Hua, Q.-C. Zhao, J. Yang, G.-B. Shi, L.-J. Wu, T. Guo, Chin. Chem. Lett. 2008, 19, 1327.
- [23] B.-F. Zhang, G.-X. Chou, Z.-T. Wang, Chin. J. Chin. Mater. Med. 2009, 34, 2334.
- [24] S. Nakamura, Y. Zhang, Y. Pongpiriyadacha, T. Wang, H. Matsuda, M. Yoshikawa, *Heterocycles* 2008, 75, 131.
- [25] I. Çaliş, M. F. Lahloub, O. Sticher, *Helv. Chim. Acta* 1984, 67, 160.
 [26] Y. Takeda, H. Zhang, T. Matsumoto, H. Otsuka, Y. Oosio, G. Honda, M. Tabata, T. Fujita, H. Sun, E. Sezik, E. Yesilada, *Phytochemistry* 1997, 44, 117.
- [27] E. Sueyoshi, H. Liu, K. Matsunami, H. Otsuka, T. Shinzato, M. Aramoto, Y. Takeda, *Phytochemistry* **2006**, *67*, 2483.
- [28] J. R. Snyder, A. S. Serianni, Carbohydr. Res. 1987, 166, 85.
- [29] T. Ishii, M. Yanagisawa, Carbohydr. Res. 1998, 313, 189.
- [30] M. Yamamota, T. Akita, Y. Koyama, E. Sueyoshi, K. Matsunami, H. Otsuka, T. Shinzato, A. Takashima, M. Aramoto, Y. Takeda, *Phytochemistry* 2008, 69, 1586.
- [31] S. R. Jensen, O. Kirk, B. J. Nielsen, R. Norrestam, Phytochemistry 1987, 26, 1725.
- [32] B. Dinda, S. Debnath, Y. Harigaya, Chem. Pharm. Bull. 2007, 55, 159.
- [33] B. Dinda, S. Debnath, Y. Harigaya, Chem. Pharm. Bull. 2007, 55, 689.
- [34] B. Dinda, D. R. Chowdhury, B. C. Mohanta, Chem. Pharm. Bull. 2009, 57, 765.

Received October 26, 2010